### Pandas - not just for data scientists

Uzi Halaby Senerman | Chief Architect @ BlueVine



- for data scientists (but you're welcome to stay :-) )
- a tutorial
  - Pandas tutorial by Brandon Rhodes from PyCon 2015: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5JnMutdy6Fw</u>
  - Python for Data Analysis by Wes McKinney



- is for Python developers
- will expose you to a very powerful tool that can be very useful from research phase to production



#### About me



- FinTech Flexible business lines of credit and invoice factoring
- Reliable and fast risk assessment for potential customers
- Data science:
  - pandas as a major tool
  - Machine learning models
  - Starting to cope with "Big Data" problems

1 5	Colline G. Incommun.		And Second and	T	April and the	
- Contraction	\$56,000 mma	8 Januari -	12.69	0.101203	sue	018865
Di hanikan	Readd to from April 1	Test .				
	Unpaid Involves (5)	-				5144
6 mm	( and the second	Annal	1000	interior Dam	20000	Alte
	Torito Tasa and Tapeniana	\$4,289	300	13 24 29 15	LHIRA	Indiana con
	Angles	6.76	1000	10.0341	DENH	Grantes .
	Teger	0.18	107	3173954		the pair was
	Gatema atal (ataustan) Gatera (date Ringettee) 40 Austra	1	and here.			
	Reservice.	12.04	IOH .	10.000	12,000	far professor

### An interface between the human developer and the machine.



Probably the best general purpose programming language :-)



Not always the best option (greatness comes with a price)

### **Specialized Python feature**

### For/list comprehensions

```
list_all = range(100000000)
filtered_list = []
for x in list_all:
    if x > 50000000:
        filtered_list.append(x)
CPU times: user 13.2 s, sys: 2.1 s
Wall time: 15.6 s
```

```
list_all = range(10000000)
filtered_list = [x for x in list_all if x > 5000000]
CPU times: user 7.74 s, sys: 3.26 s, total: 11 s
Wall time: 11.6 s
```

This is idiomatic Python and you should always prefer list comprehension when it's applicable

### Leverage the advantages of C (with the greatness of Python)

- Implement performance-critical parts of the code in C (with static typing)
  - "If you want python fast, fix dynamic not interpreted"
     Brandon Rhodes: The Day of the EXE Is Upon Us PyCon 2014
- "Python as a glue language"
- Many libraries, including some of the standard libraries in CPython
- Including NumPy & pandas...

- pandas is highly optimized for performance, with critical code paths written in Cython or C
- NumPy array / pandas Series and DataFrame
  - Fixed size at creation
  - Elements are the same data type
  - ufuncs vectorized version of many useful operations
- Highly flexible and powerful everything you can do with a DB, Excel or R Data Frames



### How can it improve performance



https://jakevdp.github.io/blog/2014/05/09/why-python-is-slow/

### Entire Eco System



# Supyter

### How much faster is it?

l = list(range(10000000))
a = np.array(1)

Without pandas	With pa
<pre>sum(1)</pre>	a.sum()
CPU times: user 1.32 s	CPU time
<pre>filtered=[x for x in 1 if x&gt;0.5]</pre>	filtere
CPU times: user 13.5 s, sys: 4.57 s	CPU time
[x*999 for x in 1]	a*999
CPU times: user 8.17 s	CPU time

Wit	th pand	as		
a.s	um()			
CPU	times:	user	98.	6 ms
filt	tered =	a[a	• 0.1	5]
CPU	times:	user	<mark>46</mark> 7	ms

CPU times: user 274 ms

### Results in production - great performance boost

- Sync process that runs every several minutes
- Comparing hundreds of thousands of values
- External API vs. Django ORM



- X15 faster when moving to pandas
- Cleaner code

### Results in production - WOW

- Calculating summaries for aggregated data
- Very complicated business logic



- Much cleaner code
- Optimization for the non-pandas code is doable (it will probably won't be as good as with pandas), but the price would be MUCH more complicated code

- Work with pandas the way it was designed to be used
- ufunc (e.g. sum()) are better than apply()
- apply() is better than iterating over a Series/DataFrame
- (Iterating over a Series/DataFrame is better than iterating over a Python list/dict)
- And don't always follow the most intuitive way...

Date	Category	
2015-01-02	A	
2015-02-02	В	
2015-01-12	A	NS N
2015-02-22	В	) row
2015-03-08	?	000
2015-02-22		50,
2015-01-19		
2015-01-17		
		-

13 categories

	From Date	To Date	Category to Assign
	2015-01-02	2015-01-21	A
)	2015-01-22	2015-02-27	В
	2015-02-28	2015-03-15	С
	2015-03-15	2015-04-01	D

- Straight forward approach: df["category"] = df.apply(get\_category)
- The efficient approach: for from\_date, to\_date, category in periods: df.loc[(df['date'] >= from\_date) & (df['date'] < to\_date), 'category'] = category</li>
- X2340 faster (26.1ms vs. 61 seconds)!!!

### Data Exploration with Jupyter & pandas

- Very powerful tools to explore the data
- Run the same notebook in multiple environments (production, staging)
- Run the same notebook in different times
- Share notebook with other team members
- Or share only the results (HTML, PDF)
- Use the notebook as starting point for your production code

Learn pandas (and start using Jupyter)!

- Explore your data more effectively
- Optimize your code (and make it cleaner):
  - Data analysis
  - Sync processes
  - Reports / Exports
- And when you use pandas remember that changing your point of view can lead you to more efficient implementation

## Thank you!

uzi@bluevine.com



### Slots (you shouldn't use this in your code)

```
class Test:
    def __init__(self, a, b, c):
        self.a = a
        self.b = b
        self.c = c
for i in range(10000000):
    Test(i,i,i)
CPU times: user 6.57 s, sys: 40.1 ms
Wall time: 6.68 s
```

```
class Test:
    __slots__ = ['a', 'b', 'c']
    def __init__(self, a, b, c):
        self.a = a
        self.b = b
        self.c = c
for i in range(10000000):
    Test(i,i,i)
CPU times: user 5.41 s, sys: 33.8 ms
Wall time: 5.49 s
```